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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION \& OBJECTIVE

This report studies traffic impacts regarding the construction of a mixed-used development located northeast and southeast of the $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Road (Hwy 169) intersection in Westwood, Kansas. The approximate locations of the proposed improvements are shown on the vicinity map, Figure 1. The City of Westwood, Kansas, the Unified Government of Kansas City, Kansas and Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) provided guidance on the scope for this project.
Figure 2 illustrates the site plan for the proposed mixed use development.
Parcel 1: Renovation of Existing Health Club and Tennis Courts
Parcel 2: Construction of Retail and Residential Units (south side of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place)
Parcel 3: Construction of Retail and Residential Units (north side of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place)
Parcel 4: Construction of an Office Building (NE corner of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue \& Rainbow)
The objective of this study is to evaluate the existing traffic, roadway conditions, and traffic impacts expected from the construction of the proposed improvements. The appropriate intersection geometrics and traffic control improvements necessary to accommodate the increased traffic on the study area roadways were identified and for the purpose of this study the following scenarios will be analyzed for the AM and PM peak periods for vehicular traffic operations:

- Existing conditions
- Existing conditions plus Parcels 1 \& 3 only
- Existing conditions plus Parcels 1 \& 3 plus Parcels 2 \& 4 (full build-out)
- Future conditions (existing conditions plus full build-out plus background traffic growth on Rainbow Boulevard)

The study area intersections include the following:

- Rainbow Boulevard \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
- Rainbow Boulevard \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Place
- State Line Road \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Place
- Site Drives

Jurisdictions to be involved with development and traffic impacts include:

- City of Westwood, Kansas
- City of Kansas City, Kansas (Unified Government)
- Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT)




### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

### 2.1 Proposed Development

Residential units, commercial retail space and an office building are proposed to be built on the site at full build-out. Renovations to an existing health and tennis club are also planned. See Figure 2 for a further breakdown of proposed improvements by parcel numbers. The site is bound by $47^{\text {th }} / 46^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to the north, State Line Road to the east, $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace to the south, and Rainbow Boulevard to the west.

Primary access is proposed via $47^{\text {th }}$ Place from both the east and west. The development may also be accessed from the $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard intersection. Additionally, Parcel 2 specifically may be accessed from Rainbow Boulevard, immediately north of $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace. The site plan for the future development is a preliminary plan and exact dimensions and arrangement may be modified.

### 2.2 Roadway and Intersection Characteristics

## KDOT and Roadway Classifications

The KDOT Route Classification map was used to determine the classification of the roadway in the vicinity of the proposed site.

Rainbow Boulevard is currently an undivided four-lane north/south roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 mph . By KDOT route classification, it is classified as a type D route.
$47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is an east/west arterial with a posted speed limit of 30 mph that intersects and ends (eastbound) at Rainbow Boulevard. The centerline of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue serves as the dividing line between the Westwood, Kansas and Kansas City, Kansas (UG) jurisdictions.

Near this site, State Line Road acts as a north/south collector. It is a two lane undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of $30 \mathrm{mph} .47^{\text {th }}$ Place is also a two lane undivided collector. It has a posted speed limit of 25 mph and many access locations between State Line Road and Rainbow Boulevard for the existing health and tennis club, businesses and their respective parking lots.

## Intersection Characteristics

The T-intersection of Rainbow Boulevard and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is a signalized intersection owned by the city of Westwood. This signal is currently operating in an uncoordinated/actuated mode. Basic timing and phasing information was obtained from Kansas City Power \& Light and used in modeling.

Rainbow Boulevard and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place is an unsignalized T-intersection, stop-controlled for westbound traffic. The intersection is approximately 375 feet south of 47th Avenue.

The intersection of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and State Line Road is an unsignalized intersection. Stop control is provided for all directions of traffic. This intersection is approximately 1,300 feet east of Rainbow Boulevard and no separate turn lanes are provided in any direction.

## Sight Distance

Sight distance was reviewed for the intersection of Rainbow Boulevard and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place using guidance provided in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) "A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets". The posted speed limit along Rainbow Boulevard is 35 mph . Due to the vertical alignment of the roadway and an existing tree-line along the east side of Rainbow Boulevard sight distance is restricted for westbound traffic on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place turning onto Rainbow Boulevard. Intersection sight distance is based on intersection control and turning type.

Case B represents intersections with stop control on the minor road. Case B1 is for the left-turn movement from the minor road ( $47^{\text {th }}$ Place) onto an undivided roadway (Rainbow Boulevard). With a posted speed limit of 35 mph the sight distance for Case B1 should be a minimum of 415 feet. Case B2 is for the right-turn movement from the minor road ( $47^{\text {th }}$ Place) onto an undivided roadway (Rainbow Boulevard). With a posted speed limit of 35 mph , the sight distance for Case B2 should be a minimum of 365 feet.

Based on measurements obtained during the field visit, sight distance for Case B1 exceeds 500 feet, thus Case B1 is met. Sight distance for Case B2 is 280 feet, less than the minimum requirement of 365 feet. As discussed above, the restricted sight distance can be attributed to the vertical grade of the roadway and an existing tree-line. Figure 4 illustrates existing lane configurations, as well as sight distance measurements.

### 2.3 Area Transit

## FutureTransit Improvements

Currently, no transit route operates on Rainbow Boulevard south of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. A map of current transit routes in the area can be found in the "KDOT ADT" section of the Appendix. The Unified Government, the University of Kansas Medical Center, the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority and Johnson County Transit have recently been engaged in discussions centered around the development of a transit facility in the vicinity of $39^{\text {th }}$ \& Rainbow. This facility would provide an off-street convergence point for transit routes in the area, including KCATA route \#39, \#47 and \#107, and JCT route 667E.

### 3.0 DATA COLLECTION

Olsson Associates collected AM and PM peak period traffic counts at the following intersections:

- Rainbow Blvd \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
- Rainbow Blvd \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Place
- State Line Road \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Place

Manual turning movement counts were collected on Tuesday, November 16, 2011 and Wednesday, November 17, 2011 from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 4:00 PM to 6:00 PM. Based on the count data collected, the AM peak hour is from 7:30 AM to 8:30 AM. The PM peak hour began at either 4:45 PM or 5:00 PM depending on the intersection. In addition to turning movement counts done by Olsson Associates, turning movement counts were completed for the existing parking lots on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place by the Woodside Health Club. These were used in estimating and assigning internal traffic on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and are included in the appendix.

Field reviews of the site were conducted June 16, 2010 and Tuesday, November 16, 2010. Existing roadway and intersection characteristics were noted and traffic control at study intersections was documented.

Parking lot turning movement counts were taken by a Woodside Health Club employee (Chris Bell) from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM on Tuesday February 15, 2011. These counts assisted in determining a realistic traffic volume entering and exiting the existing health/fitness club during the PM peak hour (5-6 PM) and patterns along $47^{\text {th }}$ Place. Parking lot movements observed by the employee included direction for exiting vehicles, but not for those entering. Distribution for entering vehicles was assumed to be $55 \%$ from the west and $45 \%$ from the east to closely match proposed distribution.

Traffic and parking lot movement count sheets can be found in the Appendix.

### 4.0 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

The analysis of existing conditions is based on the traffic counts for the study intersections. Section 2.2 details roadway classification and characteristics for the existing network. Existing traffic volumes used for analysis are illustrated in Figure 3. The existing lane configurations and traffic control for intersections in the study area are illustrated in Figure 4.

### 4.1 Signal Warrant Analysis

The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD - 2009 Edition) provides eight signal warrants for evaluation of signalization at intersections. Typically, traffic signal warrants are based on a complete review of traffic information including volumes, pedestrians, accident experience, and traffic progression. The preliminary need for signalization at the study intersections was evaluated based on the Peak Hour Warrant (Warrant 3) contained in the MUTCD.

The existing unsignalized study intersections were evaluated for signalization. Based on Warrant 3, only the $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& Rainbow Boulevard intersection satisfies the warrant criteria for signalization based on existing conditions during the PM peak period. Signal warrant analysis sheets are included in the Appendix.

### 4.2 Capacity Analysis

Unsignalized capacity analyses were performed in accordance with Chapter 17 of the HCM using the Highway Capacity Software (HCS+), version T7F. For simplicity, the amount of delay is equated to a grade or Level of Service (LOS) based on thresholds of driver acceptance. A letter grade between A and F is assigned, where LOS A represents the best operation. Table 1 represents the LOS associated with intersection control delay, in seconds per vehicle (sec/veh), for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 1: Intersection Level of Service Summary

| Level-of-Service Criteria |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of <br> Service (LOS) | Stop Control <br> Approach Delay <br> sec/veh | $\frac{\text { Signal Control }}{\text { Control Delay }}$ <br> sec/veh |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ |

Capacity analysis was conducted for the study intersections. Currently, the signalized Tintersection at $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard is expected to operate at LOS B or better during both AM and PM peak hour periods.

All movements at the unsignalized study intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hour periods except for westbound left-turning movements during both peak periods (LOS F), northbound traffic at State Line Road in both peak periods (LOS E) and southbound traffic at State Line Road during the PM peak (LOS F).

Unsignalized side street movements can be expected to operate at a lower level of service during peak hour periods as higher major street movements and progression are accommodated. Based on field observations, operations appeared to be acceptable. In existing conditions, the LOS, queuing, and delay is not a significant concern for any intersections.

Figure 5 illustrates the existing level of service for individual movements at study intersections.




### 5.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS PLUS PARCELS 1 \& 3

Proposed development in the first phase will include renovations to the existing health and tennis club as well as construct 19,500 square feet of new retail space and 88 residential units on the northeast corner at $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard. Parcels 1 and 3 represent this phase of the project, respectively.

The existing Westwood Health and Tennis Club will undergo a reconstruction overhaul throughout the duration of the project. Renovations to the existing health club and tennis facilities may occur in this initial phase or in a later stage of the project. Scope of this work includes, but may not be limited to, reducing and centralizing the number of tennis courts from 18 to 8 , renovating the existing health club building on the north side of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place, as well as demolition of the existing structure on the south side of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place.

Two new access drives are proposed in this phase, a retail drive located on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place 175 feet east of Rainbow Boulevard and the north retail drive a connection at $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard, making that intersection four-way. In addition to those drives, existing health and tennis club parking lot entrances will be consolidated into one lot \& garage entrance approximately 675 feet east of Rainbow Boulevard. This parking garage will be below the proposed tennis courts. Angled parking may also be implemented on both sides of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place. Figure 2 depicts the March 8, 2011 proposed site plan.

### 5.1 Trip Generation and Distribution

Trip generation characteristics expected for the site are shown in Table 2. All phases of development are included in this table. These characteristics are based on trip generation data included in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual ( $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition). For trip generation determination the site was classified as Specialty Retail and Apartments. Trip generation was based on square footage of retail and number of residential units in the building.

The proposed residential units and retail space is expected to generate 1,521 daily trips on an average weekday. The development is expected to generate 66 trips during the AM peak period and 134 trips during the PM peak period.

The ITE Trip Generation Manual does not provide a rate or average for expected AM Peak Hour traffic volumes for the Specialty Retail land use. Often many specialty retail shops are not open during the morning peak hour, so to be conservative, for the AM Peak period, 27\% of the expected PM peak hour traffic was used.

The existing health and fitness club is not expected to generate any additional trips after renovations and this is reflected in the report's trip generation tables.

## Table 2: Trip Generation (Parcels 1 \& 3 Only)

## Daily Trip Generation - Woodside Development (All Parcels)



[^0]A trip distribution was developed for the proposed site based on the distribution of the existing site volumes and conditions. The distribution for trips generated from the site is illustrated in Table 3.

Table 3: Trip Distribution

| Roadway | To | From |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| North (Rainbow Boulevard) | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| South (Rainbow Boulevard) | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| West (47th Avenue) | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| East (47th Place) | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| North (State Line Road) | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| South (State Line Road) | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

The AM and PM peak hour period trips for the first phase, following distribution and assignment to the roadway network, are illustrated in Figures 6 \& 7. Trips associated with the development were added to the existing traffic volumes. The resulting existing plus parcels $1 \& 3$ traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 8. The existing plus parcels 1 \& 3 intersection geometrics and traffic control for the study area intersections are illustrated in Figure 9.

### 5.2 Signal Warrant Analysis

Signal warrant analysis was completed as discussed in Section 4.1 and warrant analysis sheets are included in the Appendix. All of the unsignalized study intersections were evaluated for signalization. Based on Warrant 3, none of the study intersections satisfy the peak hour warrant criteria for signalization based on existing plus parcels $1 \&$ 3 only conditions except $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& Rainbow Boulevard during the PM peak hour.

The intersection of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard is approximately 375 feet south of the signalized intersection of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard. With standard engineering practice, a greater distance between signalized intersections is usually preferred. However, sight distance to the south along Rainbow Boulevard is restricted, which is a safety concern for traffic along $47^{\text {th }}$ Place as noted previously in Section 2.2. Thus, it is recommended to signalize this intersection and coordinate with the signal to the north at $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue via interconnect.

For the purposes of this study, a 90-second cycle length was utilized for operation estimates. More in depth analysis will be required including the consideration of
operations and the coordination of adjacent signals along Rainbow Boulevard prior to implementation and final design.

Although not obtained at the time of our traffic counts, per City representatives the Woodside swimming pool serves as the City pool which creates significant pedestrian traffic in the summer months. The signal would provide an additional controlled crossing location for pedestrians at a location where there is limited sight distance and safety concerns.

### 5.3 Capacity Analysis

Methods of capacity analysis were discussed in Section 4.2. Despite the $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& Rainbow Boulevard intersection meeting a signal warrant in this scenario, unsignalized capacity analysis was also completed for the intersection for comparison.

All movements at signalized intersections on Rainbow Boulevard ( $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) are expected to operate at a LOS C or better during peak hours, with the exception of westbound movements at both intersections (LOS D). Operations may improve after completing additional, more in-depth timing analysis.

All movements at the unsignalized intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hour periods with the exception of westbound left turn movements at an unsignalized $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& Rainbow Boulevard intersection during both peaks (LOS F) when modeled as stop-controlled and the State Line Road \& $47^{\text {th }}$ Place intersection during the PM peak hour (LOS E).

As discussed in Section 4.2, unsignalized side street movements can be expected to operate at a lower level of service during peak hour periods as higher major street movements are accommodated. Turning movements at the new access locations, as well as the consolidated health/fitness club entrance are expected to operate at a LOS C or better in both peak hours. Figure 10 illustrates the existing plus parcels $1 \& 3$ level of service for the study area intersections.

### 5.4 Site Circulation, Internal Capture \& Lane Configurations

A proposed site plan was provided March 8, 2011. This plan illustrates the proposed improvements in all phases. As opposed to $47^{\text {th }}$ Place potentially experiencing high volumes of pass-thru traffic in existing conditions, this particular development will benefit from consolidation of all health and fitness club parking. This mixed use development should cater to a more pedestrian-friendly environment. Angled parking should provide a narrowing effect and promote slower speeds. Improved aesthetics in this kind of redevelopment may potentially result in less pass-thru traffic on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place from the Plaza area.

Internal trip capture between residential units and retail land uses was investigated for this site based on Chapter 7 in the 2004 version of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook. In calculations, internal trip capture was determined to be minimal (less than 12\%) so for purposes of this study, internal trip capture was omitted.
$47^{\text {th }}$ Place should have separate westbound left and right turn lanes. To accommodate peak hour queuing, the westbound right turn lane should accommodate at least 3 vehicles ( 60 feet).

Since Rainbow Boulevard is recommended to be signalized at $47^{\text {th }}$ Place, southbound lanes should be restriped to provide for a thru/left turn lane for vehicles turning onto $47^{\text {th }}$ Place, similar to existing striping for northbound left turning vehicles at $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. The same restriping should be done north of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue for southbound vehicles turning left into the development.





| Woodside Development Westwood, KS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rainbow Blvd \& 47th Ave Intersection delay 16.5 (14.2) sec. (w/ 47th Place Signalized) <br> Rainbow Blvd \& 47th Place Intersection delay 5.6 (7.6) sec. <br> LEGEND <br> Unsignalized Intersection Stop Sign AM (PM) Level of Service AM (PM) Intersection Level of Service |  |
| Ololsson | velopment (Parcels 1 \& 3 Only) Level of Service | FIGURE <br> 10 |

### 6.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS PLUS ALL PARCELS (1-4)

In its second phase (Parcels $2 \& 4$ ) the development proposes an additional 13,700 square feet of retail space and 238 residential units on the southeast corner of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard. Retail and residential additions represent Parcel 2. A 50,000 square feet office building will also be constructed at the northwest corner of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and Rainbow Boulevard, north of Parcel 3. The office building characterizes Parcel 4. Tanner \& White Properties is considering increasing retail area space by 2,000 square feet in Parcel 2 which is not currently included in the site plan, so for the purposes of this study that additional square footage is included in the analysis.

Three new access drives are proposed in this phase. One is located on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place across from the center retail drive installed with Parcel 3 making that a 4-way intersection, a south parking garage entrance (for residential units) is located 160 feet east of that center retail drive, and a south retail drive provides access to Rainbow Boulevard about 250 feet south of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place.
A 50,000 square feet office building (Parcel 4) to be built in this phase is proposed to have access to Rainbow Boulevard via $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and thru-access to the south using center retail drive to $47^{\text {th }}$ Place.

### 6.1 Trip Generation and Distribution

Trip generation characteristics expected for this phase of development are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Trip Generation (Entire Project)
Daily Trip Generation - Woodside Development (All Parcels)

| Daily Trip Generation - Woodside Development (All Parcels) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITE |  |  | Trip Gen. | Daily |  |  | Daily | Trips | Parce |
| Code/Pag <br> e | Land Use | Size | Avg. Rate/Eq. | Trips | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | 1 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 492/899** | 35,00 <br> Health/Fitness Club <br> Specialty Retail <br> Center | 0 <br> 15,70 <br> 0 | SF | Equation | 0 | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $814 / 1388$ | Apartment | 238 | Unit <br> S | Equation | 59 | $44 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 26 | 33 | 2 |
| $220 / 329$ | Equation | 149 | $65 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 97 | 52 | 2 |  |  |  |


|  | Specialty Retail | 19,50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $814 / 1388$ | Center | 0 | SF | Equation | 68 | $44 \%$ | $56 \%$ | 30 | 38 | 3 |
| $220 / 329$ | Apartment | 88 | Unit | S | Equation | 66 | $65 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 43 | 23 |
| $710 / 1205$ | General Office Bldg | 50,00 | 0 | SF | Equation | 135 | $17 \%$ | $83 \%$ | 23 | 112 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 477 |  |  | 219 | 258 |  |

*No accurate estimates of daily trips for "Health/Fitness Club" Land Use in the ITE Manual.
**Trips for "Health/Fitness Club" Land Use are already accounted for in the traffic counts. The Health/Fitness Club's cumulative square footage is decreasing and there will be a decrease in tennis courts from 18 to 8. No new trips are expected to be generated.
${ }^{\wedge} 27 \%$ of PM Peak Hour trips used, no AM Peak Hour rates provided in ITE Manual.

The additional residential units and retail space and office building are expected to generate 3,044 daily trips on an average weekday. Combined, the residential units, retail and office building are expected to generate 244 trips during the AM peak period and 343 trips during the PM peak period.

Trip distribution for this phase remained the same as previously illustrated in Table 3. Figures 11-15 show expected trip generations, peak hour volumes, lane configurations and level of service for Parcels 2 and 4 in addition to Parcels $1 \& 3$ being complete.

### 6.2 Signal Warrant Analysis

Signal warrant analysis was completed as discussed in Section 4.1 and warrant analysis sheets are included in the Appendix. Based on signal warrant 3, none of the study intersections satisfy the peak hour warrant criteria for signalization based on existing plus parcels 1-4 conditions except $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& State Line Road during the PM peak hour. Despite satisfying the peak hour warrant criteria for signalization in the PM peak hour, it is not recommended to signalize this intersection based solely on a one hour warrant. Delays, moderate queuing and lower levels of service can be expected during peak hour periods for four-way stop controlled intersections.

The $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard intersection again met signal warrant during the PM peak hour, but for purposes of this study it was analyzed as both signalized and stop controlled. Upon construction of Parcels 2 and 4, signal timings at $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue on Rainbow Boulevard should be re-evaluated and readjusted as necessary for current traffic volumes.

### 6.3 Capacity Analysis

Capacity analysis was examined as in Section 5.3. All movements at signalized intersections on Rainbow Boulevard ( $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) are expected to operate at a LOS C or better during peak hours, with the exception of westbound
movements at both intersections (LOS D). Operations may improve after completing additional, more in-depth timing analysis.

All movements at the study intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hour periods with the exception of the $47^{\text {th }}$ Place \& State Line Road intersection during the PM peak (LOS F) and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard during both peak hours when analyzed as stop-controlled. Figure 15 illustrates the existing plus all parcels (1-4) scenario's level of service for the study area intersections.

In the March $8^{\text {th }}, 2011$ site plan, the proposed south retail drive with access to Rainbow Boulevard south of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place is currently staggered from $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace to the west. Moving the new south retail drive entrance further south approximately 80 feet to align with $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace to the west will eliminate staggered access points and would reduce driver confusion, while increasing safety.

Rainbow Boulevard will be classified as a "D" route and the new south retail drive should be built as a Type 6 Access per the KDOT Corridor Management Policy. Per the policy, high volume access spacing along a "D" route is 195 feet for a Type 6 Access. The south retail drive appears to meet all KDOT's Corridor Management criteria for access spacing and geometrics as a Type 6 access if it is located directly across from $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace. Additionally, a right turn lane does not appear to be warranted based on the low volume of expected northbound right turning vehicles according to the corridor policy.

### 6.4 Site Circulation, Internal Capture \& Lane Configurations

As in Section 5.4, internal trip capture between residential units, retail and general office building land uses was investigated for this site based on Chapter 7 in the 2004 version of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook. In calculations, internal trip capture was again determined to be minimal (less than 10\%), so in study scenario internal trip capture was omitted.

| Woodside Development Westwood，KS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEGEND <br> XX（XX）［XX］－Residential Units（Retail）［Office Building］\％Traffic Distribution |  |
| Oolssson | Trip Distribution \％（Parcels 2 \＆4） | FIGURE $11$ |





| Woodside Development Westwood, KS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Existing + Development (All Parcels 1-4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { FIGURE } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |

### 7.0 FUTURE CONDITIONS PLUS ALL PARCELS (1-4)

This scenario considers operations of the future roadway network. At the request of the Kansas Department of Transportation, the future scenario represents thru-traffic volumes in 2030 on Rainbow Boulevard. These estimated northbound and southbound volumes in the year 2030 account for a 1\% annual increase in traffic.

It should be noted that interpolation of average daily traffic volumes (ADT) posted on KDOT's website actually indicate a net decrease in traffic on Rainbow Road near $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in each of the past four years. These volumes and traffic percentage decrease calculations are included in the Appendix.

### 7.1 Future Background Trip Operations

Signal warrants and traffic operations of the roadway network considering the future background traffic volumes were reviewed. This provides for a comparison of operations to determine improvements required based on estimated traffic growth or improvements associated with the additional traffic to the east and west of the development.

It is recommended to observe operations of the roadway network and re-evaluate future operations based on actual volumes. Traffic growth (or decline) may occur at lower or higher levels than anticipated which will impact potential improvements.

### 7.2 Signal Warrant Analysis

Signal warrant analysis was completed as discussed in Section 4.1. The intersection of $47^{\text {th }}$ Place with Rainbow Boulevard is expected to meet the warrant for signalization in both the AM and PM peak hours based on a 1\% annual growth of traffic volumes on Rainbow Boulevard. In previous phases of development, this intersection appeared to warrant a signal in only the PM peak period. In the future, signal timings should be evaluated and adjusted accordingly for optimal performance based on actual traffic volumes.

Volumes are the same as analyzed in the previous scenario at the intersection of State Line Road and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and is expected to still meet the warrant for signalization based on the PM peak hour traffic volume estimations. Prior to planning for any signalization along the State Line corridor it would be recommended to complete analysis in the future scenario based on actual volumes. Background traffic growth may be lower or higher than anticipated which may skew the results of the signal warrant analysis. Signal warrant analysis sheets are included in the Appendix.

### 7.3 Capacity Analysis

All movements at signalized intersections on Rainbow Boulevard ( $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue) are expected to operate at a LOS C or better during peak hours, with the exception of westbound movements at both $47^{\text {th }}$ Place (LOS D) and $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (LOS E). Operations may improve after completing more in-depth timing analysis and signal coordination for actual traffic volumes.

Unsignalized capacity analysis was completed as discussed in Section 4.2. All individual movements at study intersections are expected to operate at LOS D or better with the exception of all movements at the State Line Road and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place intersection as in the previous scenario.

All movements at the State Line intersection are expected to operate at a LOS F except westbound traffic which may operate at a LOS E. While these levels of service may seem poor, unsignalized street movements can be expected to operate at a lower level of service during peak hour periods as higher major street movements and progression are accommodated. Figure 18 illustrates the existing plus future conditions level of service for the study area intersections.

### 7.4 Site Circulation, Internal Capture \& Lane Configurations

No major roadway geometry changes are recommended for future conditions based on estimated traffic growth discussed earlier.

In the future conditions scenario, Roadway Using volumes as shown in Figure 16, In 2030, it is recommended for Rainbow Boulevard to remain as a 4 lane undivided roadway (but incorporate suggestions mentioned in Sections 5 \& 6). Further analysis of Rainbow Boulevard operations is recommended in the future, as volumes will differ from estimations shown in this study.

Internal trip capture between residential units and retail land uses was not investigated for a future conditions scenario as no additional land uses were being introduced to the area. For purposes of this study, internal trip capture in future conditions (year 2030) was omitted.




### 8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS \& CONCLUSIONS

This study considered the impact of the construction of a mixed-used development and health club renovation on the surrounding roadway network near Rainbow Boulevard and $47^{\text {th }}$ Place in Westwood, Kansas. Considering the existing conditions, proposed development, and future traffic volumes, analysis was completed to determine the expected operations of the area. Based on KDOT's Corridor Management Policy, the results of the capacity analyses and field observations, the following conclusions and recommendations are made for the study area:

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXISTING CONDITIONS

- Roadway improvements are not recommended for the existing condition scenario. Based on a review of the existing conditions, intersections in the area are operating at acceptable levels of service.


## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXISTING PLUS PARCELS 1 \& 3 (NORTH RETAIL/RESIDENTIAL AND HEALTH/FITNESS CLUB RENOVATION)

- Based on a review of the expected operations of the area with the addition of trips associated with the proposed development, it is recommended to signalize the $47^{\text {th }}$ Place and Rainbow Boulevard T-intersection. The intersection is expected to meet the peak hour warrant for signalization. Although traffic operations in terms of delay and LOS are acceptable as unsignalized there is limited sight distance at the intersection thus a signal would be expected to provide both adequate operations and improve safety.
- The new signal at $47^{\text {th }}$ Place should be timed to coordinate with the existing signal at $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue which will require installing interconnect between the two.
- Separate right and left turn lanes should remain for westbound traffic on $47^{\text {th }}$ Place with the right turn lane having at least 60 feet of storage.
- Restripe the inside southbound lane north of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue on Rainbow Boulevard to provide a shared thru/left turn lane for vehicles entering the development.
- Restripe the inside southbound lane south of $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue on Rainbow Boulevard to provide a shared thru/left turn lane for vehicles entering the development via $47^{\text {th }}$ Place.
- Provide separate shared thru/left and right turn lanes for proposed $47^{\text {th }}$ Avenue access.


## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXISTING PLUS ALL PARCELS (1-4) (SOUTH RETAIL AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS \& OFFICE BUILDING)

- Signal timings on Rainbow Boulevard should be evaluated and adjusted to best accommodate new retail, residential and office building traffic volumes introduced with development of Parcels $2 \& 4$.
- If lined up with $47^{\text {th }}$ Terrace to the west, new access to Rainbow Boulevard (the south retail drive) appears to meet access spacing requirements set forth in the KDOT Corridor Management Policy for a Route 'D' classification (195 feet center-to-center).


## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE YEAR CONDITIONS (2030)

- No roadway or geometric improvements are recommended for future year conditions.
- Signal timings should be adjusted as necessary along Rainbow Boulevard to best accommodate actual traffic volumes and patterns.


## APPENDIX:

- Supplemental Information
- Traffic Volumes
- Parking Lot Volumes (By Others)
- Development Schematic Plans (By Others)
- KDOT ADT Calculations
- Existing
- Signal Warrant Analysis
- Capacity Analysis Reports
- Existing Plus Parcels 1 \& 3
- Signal Warrant Analysis
- Capacity Analysis Reports
- Existing Plus All Parcels (1-4)
- Signal Warrant Analysis
- Capacity Analysis Reports
- Future Conditions 2030 Plus All Parcels (1-4)
- Signal Warrant Analysis
- Capacity Analysis Reports


## Traffic Volumes

## Olssan Assaciates

7301 West 133rd Street, Suite 200

RAINBOW BLVD
47TH AVE
AM

File Name: Rainbow Blvd \& 47th Ave AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/16/2010
Page No : 3


Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM-Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour for Entire intersection Begins al 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:30 AM | 24 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 24 | 0 | 167 | 51 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 117 | 373 |
| 07:45 AM | 28 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 25 | 0 | 185 | 60 | 2 | 66 | 0 | 128 | 424 |
| 08:00 AM | 29 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 24 | 0 | 180 | 44 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 124 | 390 |
| 08:15 AM | 25 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 19 | 0 | 131 | 45 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 104 | 343 |
| Total Volume | 106 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 571 | 92 | 0 | 663 | 200 | 2 | 271 | 0 | 473 | 1530 |
| \% App. Total | 26.9 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 0 |  | 42.3 | 0.4 | 57.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 914 | . 867 | . 000 | . 000 | . 887 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 000 | . 892 | . 920 | . 000 | . 896 | . 833 | 250 | 847 | . 000 | . 924 | . 902 |



## Olssan Assaciates

RAINBOW BLVD
47TH AVE
PM

## Overland Park, KS 66213

File Name : Rainbow Blvd \& 47th Ave PM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/16/2010
Page No : 3

|  | RAINBOW 8LVD From North |  |  |  |  | 47TH AVE From East |  |  |  |  | RAINBOW BLVD From South |  |  |  |  | 47TH AVE From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Aca Tadal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Todsa | Right | Thro | Left | Peds | App. Tolat | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour fo | Entire | Inters | ection | Begins | at 04:4 | 5 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 59 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 2131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 35 | 0 | 92 | 35 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 101 | 406 |
| 05:00 PA | 56 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 43 | 0 | 124 | 34 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 93 | 456 |
| 05:15 PM | 72 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 29 | 0 | 112 | 43 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 102 | 503 |
| 05:30 PM | 57 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 40 | 0 | 120 | 33 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 85 | 457 |
| Total Volume | 244 | 749 | 0 | 0 | 993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 147 | 0 | 448 | 145 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 381 | 1822 |
| \% App. Tolal | 24.6 | 75.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 67.2 | 32.8 | 0 |  | 38.1 | 0 | 61.9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 847 | . 863 | . 000 | . 000 | . 859 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 807 | . 855 | . 000 | . 903 | . 843 | . 000 | . 894 | . 000 | . 934 | 906 |



## Olssan Assaciates

7301 West 133rd Street, Suite 200

RAINBOW BLVD
47TH PL
AM

File Name : Rainbow Blvd \& 47th PI AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/16/2010
Page No : 3

|  | RAINBOW BLVO From North |  |  |  |  | 47TH PL <br> From East |  |  |  |  | RAINBOW $\overline{\text { BLVD }}$ From South |  |  |  |  | 47THPL From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Starl Time | Right [ | Thru | Lefl | Peds | 4ep Tolal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App Tolal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App Tole | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Apa Toum | Int Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | Inters | ction | Begin | at 07:3 | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 68 | 50 | 0 | 119 | 20 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 31 | 16 | 151 | 0 | 1 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 97 | 44 | 0 | 141 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 18 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 71 | 35 | 0 | 106 | 21 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 87 | 35 | 0 | 122 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 26 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| Total Volume | 0 | $\overline{324}$ | 164 | 0 | 488 | 89 | 0 | 33 | 0 | $\overline{122}$ | 81 | 576 | 0 | 1 | 658 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1268 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 66.4 | 33.8 | 0 |  | 73 | 0 | 27 | 0 |  | 12.3 | 87.5 | 0 | 0.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 835 | . 820 | . 000 | . 885 | 856 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 953 | . 779 | .894 | . 000 | . 250 | . 904 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 901 |



## Olssan Assaciates

7301 West 133rd Street, Suite 200
Overland Park, KS 66213
RAINBOW BLVD 47TH PL
PM
File Name : Rainbow Blvd \& 47th PI PM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/16/2010
Page No : 3

|  | RAINBOWBLVD From North |  |  |  |  | 47TH PL <br> From East |  |  |  |  | RAINBOW BLVD From South |  |  |  |  | 47TH PL From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App Tolal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App Toul | Rigm | Thru | Lef | Peds | App. Tolal | Int Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour fo | Entire | Inters | eclion | Begins | s al 05:0 | 0 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 214 | 18 | 0 | 232 | 53 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 84 | 11 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 218 | 36 | 0 | 254 | 33 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 47 | 11 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 190 | 26 | 0 | 216 | 48 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 64 | 15 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 369 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 150 | 36 | 0 | 186 | 29 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 49 | 21 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 772 | 116 | 0 | 888 | 163 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 244 | 58 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1480 |
| \% App. Tolal | 0 | 86.9 | 13.1 | 0 |  | 66.8 | 0 | 33.2 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | 885 | . 806 | . 000 | . 874 | . 769 | . 000 | . 653 | 000 | 726 | . 690 | . 815 | . 000 | 000 | . 870 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 889 |



## Olssan Assaciates

7301 West 133rd Street, Suite 200

STATELINE RD
47TH PL
AM

File Name: Stateline Rd \& 47th PI AM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/17/2010
Page No : 3

|  | STATELINE RD From North |  |  |  |  | 47TH PL <br> From East |  |  |  |  | STATELINE RD <br> From South |  |  |  |  | 4TTH PL From Wesi |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Anp Total | Right | Thru | Lefl | Peds | App, Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App roul | Right | Thru | Lefl | Peds | Nas. Toual | Int Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 8 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 98 | 13 | 0 | 113 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 215 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 51 | 9 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 6 | 84 | 14 | 0 | 104 | 8 | 31 | 7 | 0 | 46 | 237 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 48 | 8 | 97 | 19 | 0 | 124 | 4 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 244 |
| 08:15 AM | 10 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 10 | 101 | 26 | 0 | 137 | 6 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 277 |
| Total Volume | 18 | 141 | 12 | 0 | 171 | 37 | 109 | 30 | 0 | 176 | 26 | 380 | 72 | 0 | 478 | 27 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 148 | 973 |
| \% App. Total | 10.5 | 82.5 | 7 | 0 |  | 21 | 61.9 | 17 | 0 |  | 5.4 | 79.5 | 15.1 | 0 |  | 18.2 | 69.6 | 12.2 | 0 |  |  |
| P: ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | . 450 | . 839 | . 500 | . 000 | 838 | . 771 | . 717 | . 625 | 000 | . 786 | . 850 | 941 | . 692 | . 000 | .872 | .750 | 831 | . 643 | . 000 | . 804 | . 878 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:30 AM <br> Unsblined |  |
|  |  |  |

## Olssan Assaciates

## STATELINE RD <br> 47TH PL <br> PM

## 7301 West 133rd Street, Suite 200

Overland Park, KS 66213
File Name : Statline Rd \& 47th PI PM
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 11/17/2010
Page No : 3

|  | STATELINERD From North |  |  |  |  | 47 TH PL From East |  |  |  |  | STATELINE RD <br> From South |  |  |  |  | 47TH PL From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right \| | Thru | Left | Peds | Aps, Tolas | Right | Thn | Lefl | Peds | Agp Toial | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Apd Totes | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Ase Tolfel | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 9 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 103 | 6 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 64 | 9 | 70 | 11 | 0 | 90 | 15 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 298 |
| 05:00 PM | 9 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 118 | 7 | 42 | 19 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 48 | 21 | 0 | 77 | 26 | 39 | 11 | 0 | 76 | 339 |
| 05:15 PM | 10 | 100 | 6 | 0 | 116 | 6 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 74 | 6 | 57 | 27 | 0 | 90 | 29 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 64 | 344 |
| 05:30 PM | 9 | 69 | 6 | 0 | 84 | 7 | 38 | 9 | 0 | 54 | 11 | 48 | 15 | 0 | 74 | 35 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 69 | 281 |
| Total Volume | 37 | 358 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 26 | 174 | 60 | 0 | 260 | 34 | 223 | 74 | 0 | 331 | 105 | 116 | 29 | 0 | 250 | 1262 |
| \% App. Total | 8.8 | 85 | 6.2 | 0 |  | 10 | 66.9 | 23.1 | 0 |  | 10.3 | 67.4 | 22.4 | 0 |  | 42 | 46.4 | 11.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 925 | . 868 | . 813 | 000 | . 892 | 929 | . 806 | 789 | 000 | 878 | 773 | 796 | . 685 | 000 | . 919 | 750 | 744 | 659 | . 000 | 822 | 917 |


Analyst:
Name of Development: Woodside
rime Periad.





LAND USE C Apartmen
ITE LU Code 220/329
Size: 320 Dwelling Units
Size: 320 Dwelling Units



Analys:: $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BHL} \\ & 3 / 14 / 2011\end{aligned}\right.$
Neme of Development: Woodside
Time Perloc: $\quad$ PM Peak Hour - All Parcels

LAND USE A Specially Retoil Center

| ite LUCode 814/1388 |
| :---: |
| Size: $35,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |

$\xrightarrow{\substack{\text { Exit } 10 \text { External } \\ \hline \multicolumn{3}{c|}{\hline \text { Enler from Exiemal }}\\ \hline \text { Enler from Exiemal }}}$
 0



## Parking Lot Volumes (By Others)






## Development Schematic (By Others)









Parcel 2 Site Section BB





## KDOT ADT CALCULATIONS

Interpolated KDOT Traffic Volumes - 47th Avenue \& Rainbow Blvd

| Year | ADT | ADT Change <br> (VOL) | ADT Change <br> (CUM. VOL) | ADT Change (\%) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2004-2005$ | 12,768 | - | - | - |
| $2005-2006$ | 12,760 | -8 | -8 | $-0.06 \%$ |
| $2006-2007$ | 12,725 | -35 | -43 | $-0.27 \%$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 12,475 | -250 | -293 | $-1.95 \%$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 12,013 | -462 | -755 | $-3.70 \%$ |
| $2009-2010$ | 11,778 | -235 | -990 | $-1.96 \%$ |








# KDOT ROUTE CLASSIFICATION \& NHS SYSTEM DISTRICT ONE Kansas City Metro Area 



##  <br>  <br> 

A Route

D Route

NHS Route *
Protected Route *

* NHS and Protected Routes are managed as "B" routes.


PAEPALEO BY THE

## 2030 KDOT Traffic Estimations

| AM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47th Avenue \& Rainbow Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | EBR | EBT | WBL | WBR | WBT | NBL | NBR | NBT | S8L | SBR | SBT |
| 2010 | 271 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 572 | 0 | 106 | 288 |
| 2030 Adds: | 60 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 23 | 63 |
| 47th Place \& Rainbow Blivd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | EBR | EBT | WBL | WBR | WBT | NBL | NBR | NBT | SBL | SBR | SBT |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 576 | 164 | 0 | 324 |
| 2030 Adds: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 127 | 36 | 0 | 71 |
| 47th Place \& State Line Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | EBR | EBT | W8L | W8R | WBT | NBL | NBR | NBT | SBL | SBR | SBT |
| 2010 | 18 | 27 | 103 | 30 | 37 | 109 | 72 | 26 | 380 | 12 | 18 | 141 |
| 2030 Adds: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| PM PEAK HOUR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47th Avenue \& Rainbow Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | E8R | EBT | W8L | WBR | WBT | NBL | NBR | NBT | SBL | SBR | S8T |
| 2010 | 236 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 304 | 0 | 244 | 745 |
| 2030 Adds: | 52 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 54 | 164 |
| 47th Place \& Rainbow Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | EBR | EBT | WBL | WBR | WBT | NBL | NBR | NBT | SBL | S8R | SBT |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 290 | 116 | 0 | 772 |
| 2030 Adds: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 64 | 26 | 0 | 170 |
| 47th Place \& State Line Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | EBL | EBR | EBT | WBL | WBR | WBT | NBL | NBR | N8T | SBL | SBR | SBT |
| 2010 | 29 | 105 | 116 | 60 | 26 | 174 | 74 | 34 | 223 | 26 | 37 | 358 |
| 2030 Adds: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

"Assumed traffic growth is $1.0 \%$ Annually per KDOT request on Rainbow Boulevard only. From above, larger estimation used. for growth on thru movements on Rainbow.

Traffic Growth Formula: 2030 VOLUME $=(2010$ VOLUME $)(1+0.01)^{20}-(2010$ VOLUME $)$


Signal Warrant Analysis





## Capacity Analysis Reports



Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movernent | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | LT | $L$ |  | $R$ |  |  |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) |  | 200 | 44 |  | 103 |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 855 | 118 |  | 670 |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.23 | 0.37 |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |
| 95\% queue length |  | 0.91 | 1.53 |  | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 10.5 | 52.6 |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | 8 | F |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | - | -- | 23.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | $\cdots$ | -- | C |  |  |  |  |  |



## ALL-WAY STOP CONTROL ANALYSIS

## General Information

| Analyst | Brelt Launitsan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates |
| Date Perlormed | $2 / 25 / 2011$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Existing AMA |

Site Information

| Intersection | 47th Place \& Siate Line |
| :--- | :--- |
| Uurisdiction | KCMO \& Westwood. KS |
| Analysis Year | 2011 |
|  |  |

Project ID Woodside Devalopment EastWesl Streel: 47th Place North/South Streel: Slate Line Road
Volume Adjustments and Site Characterlstics


Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Leff-Tuns | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| ALT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| nRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | -0.1 |  | -0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

Departure Headway and Service Time


Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbouno |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | 12 | LT | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 433 |  | 470 |  | 618 |  | 452 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 13.12 |  | 13.96 |  | 35.53 |  | 12.85 |  |
| LOS | $B$ |  | B |  | E |  | B |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 13.12 |  | 13.96 |  | 35.53 |  | 12.85 |  |
| Los | B |  | 8 |  | E |  | 8 |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/vah) | 23.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copyright © 2010 University of Florida، All Rights Reservad HCS+ ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Version |  |  |  |  |  |  | eraled: 3 | 7:3 |



| Movement | EBL. | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | $\Gamma$ |  | * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 中 $\dagger$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 271 | 200 | 93 | 572 | 288 | 106 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Frit | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.96 |  |
| Fit Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Sald. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 3515 | 3397 |  |
| Fit Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.83 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 |  | 2926 | 3397 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 295 | 217 | 101 | 622 | 313 | 115 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 68 | 0 | 723 | 383 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | Perm | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 14.6 | 14.6 |  | 21.6 | 21.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 14.6 | 14.6 |  | 21.6 | 21.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.31 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 555 | 496 |  | 1356 | 1575 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0. 17 |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.04 |  | c0.25 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.14 |  | 0.53 | 0.24 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 13.2 | 11.5 |  | 8.9 | 7.6 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |
| Delay (s) | 14.2 | 11.6 |  | 9.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 13.1 |  |  | 9.3 | 7.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | 8 |  |  | A | A |  |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM Average Control Delay | 10.0 | HCM Level of Service | B |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.53 |  | 10.4 |
| Actuated Cycle Length ( s ) | 46.6 | Sum of lost time (s) | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $57.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 217 | 723 | 428 |
| vic Ratio | 0.54 | 0.34 | 0.54 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | 19.3 | 4.5 | 11.0 | 6.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 19.3 | 4.5 | 11.0 | 6.8 |
| Queve Length 50th (fi) | 82 | 0 | 63 | 25 |
| Queve Lengh 95th (ti) | 165 | 41 | 135 | 60 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) | 513 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Tum Bay Length (t) | 250 | 250 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1365 | 1270 | 2257 | 2640 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced vic Ratio | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.16 |
| nitersection Summary |  |  |  |  |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBT | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 155 | 492 | 1075 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.63 |
| Control Delay | 23.7 | 20.7 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| Queve Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.7 | 20.7 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| Queue Length 50h (t) | 75 | 43 | 43 | 98 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 145 | 91 | 93 | 187 |
| Intemal Link Dist (t) | 513 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 250 | 250 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1188 | 1063 | 1281 | 2099 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.38 | 0.51 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |

Signal Warrant Analysis

| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Site information |  |  |  |
| Analyst Brett Lauritsen |  |  | Intersection |  | 47th Place and Rainbow Blua |  |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Assaciates |  | Jurisdiction |  | Westwood, KS/KCK (UG) |  |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 |  | Analysis Year |  | 2011-Ex + Parcels 1 \& 3 |  |
| Analysis Time Period | $A M$ |  |  |  | 2011-EX |  |
| Project Description Woodside |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastwest Street: 47th Place |  |  | North/South Street: Rainbow Bivd |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: North-South | North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 576 | 87 | 164 | 324 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 647 | 111 | 200 | 390 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T | TR | LT | T |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 47 |  | 89 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.86 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 103 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Flared Approach |  | $N$ |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | $L$ |  | $R$ |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  | Eastbound |  |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | LT | 1 | R |  |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) |  | 200 | 62 | 103 |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 849 | 118 | 666 |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.24 | 0.53 | 0.15 |  |  |
| 95\% queue length |  | 0.91 | 2.46 | 0.54 |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 10.5 | 65.2 | 11.4 |  |  |
| LOS |  | 8 | $F$ | B |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 31.6 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- | D |  |  |  |
| Copyright 2010 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved |  |  | $\mathrm{HCS}^{\text {TM }}$ Version 5.5 |  | Generated: 3/14/2011 3 |  |



| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | Intersection | 47th Place \& Retail-North |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates | Jurisdiction | Westwoad, KS |
| Date Periormed | 3/8/2011 | Analysis Year | 2011-Ex + Parcels 1 \& 3 |
| Analysis Time Pariod | AM |  |  |
| Project Description Woodside |  |  |  |
| EastWest Street: 47th Place |  | North/South Street: Center Retail |  |
| Intersection Orientation: East-West |  | Study Period (hrs) |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | , | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 245 |  |  | 122 | 6 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 6 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 6 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | - | 0 | - | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 17 |  | 14 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (vehih) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 15 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{m})$ (veh/h) | 1446 |  |  |  |  |  | 706 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.15 |  |
| Control Delay (s/ven) | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | - |  |  |  |  | 10.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |

## TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

General Information

| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates |
| Date Periormed | $3 / 8 / 2011$ |
| Analysis Time Period | $P M$ |
| Project Description Woodside |  |

Site Information

| Intersection | 47th Place \& Retail-North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Westwood, KS |
|  | $2011-E x+$ Parcels $1 \& 3$ |
|  |  |

Project Description Woodside

| East/WesI Skreet: 47th Place | North/South Street: Center Retail |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Orientation: East-West | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 22 | 174 |  |  | 244 | 25 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PhF | 0.92 | 0.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 27 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 0 | -- | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volurne (veh/h) |  |  |  | 21 |  | 19 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 20 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movemeni | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Contiguration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{m})(\mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h})$ | 1270 |  |  |  |  |  | 606 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  |
| 95\% queus length | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| Conirol Delay (s/veh) | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | - | - |  |  |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | - | - |  |  |  |  | B |  |



## Saturatlon Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Lefi-Turns | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Righl-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-odj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | -0.1 |  | -0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service Time

| hd, initial value (s) | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$, initial | 0,16 |  | 0.17 |  | 0.46 |  | 0.17 |  |
| hd. final value (s) | 6.35 |  | 6.32 |  | 5.50 |  | 6.04 |  |
| $x$ x, final value | 0.31 |  | 0.34 |  | 0.80 |  | 0.31 |  |
| Move-up lime, $m$ (s) |  | 0 |  | . 0 |  | . 0 |  | 2.0 |
| Service Time, $t_{s}$ (s) | 4.4 |  | 4.3 |  | 3.5 |  | 4.0 |  |

## Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L9 | L2 |
| Capacily (ver/h) | 427 |  | 442 |  | 641 |  | 437 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 12.21 |  | 12.49 |  | 26.50 |  | 11.78 |  |
| Los | B |  | B |  | 0 |  | B |  |
| Approach: Dalay (s/veh) | 12.21 |  | 12.49 |  | 26.50 |  | 11.78 |  |
| LOS | B |  | $B$ |  | D |  | B |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 19.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ALL-WAY STOP CONTROL ANALYSIS

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | Intersection | 47th Place \& State Line |
| Agency/Co. | Ois san Associates | Jurisdiction | Wastwood, KS/KCMO |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 | Analysis Year | 2011-Ex + Parcels $1 \& 3$ |
| Analysis Time Period | PM |  |  |
| Project ID Woodside Village |  |  |  |
| EasWest Street: 47th Place |  | North/South St |  |

## Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics



Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Lefl-Tums | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Tums | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| flT-zdj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| ART-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-ad) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj, computed | -0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |
| Departure Headway and Service Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ho, intial value (s) | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |
| $x$, initial | 0.26 |  | 0.26 |  | 0.33 |  | 0.41 |  |
| hd, final value (s) | 8.43 |  | 8.63 |  | 8.20 |  | 7.85 |  |
| $x$, linal value | 0.69 |  | 0.69 |  | 0.84 |  | 1.01 |  |
| Move-up time, m(s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |
| Service Time. $\mathrm{f}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | 6.4 |  | 6.6 |  | 6.2 |  | 5.9 |  |

Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westoound |  | Northbound |  | Southoound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | 11 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 405 |  | 396 |  | 430 |  | 464 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 27.92 |  | 28.96 |  | 41.78 |  | 73.41 |  |
| LOS | D |  | D |  | E |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 27.92 |  | 28.96 |  | 41.78 |  | 73.41 |  |
| LOS | D |  | D |  | E |  | $F$ |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 46.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inlersection LOS | $E$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | $\cdots$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\gamma$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P | , | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 271 | 3 | 200 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 93 | 572 | 0 | 3 | 288 | 106 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Lane Utill Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.85 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.96 |  |
| FIt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1587 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 |  | 3515 |  |  | 3396 |  |
| FIl Permitted | 0.54 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.82 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1007 | 1587 |  |  | 1863 | 1583 |  | 2891 |  |  | 3233 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 295 | 3 | 217 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 101 | 622 | 0 | 3 | 313 | 115 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 34 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 723 | 0 | 0 | 397 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.7 | 23.7 |  |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 40.6 |  |  | 40.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.7 | 23.7 |  |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 40.6 |  |  | 40.6 |  |
| Actuated gtc Ratio | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.54 |  |  | 0.54 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 486 | 504 |  |  | 55 | 47 |  | 1571 |  |  | 1757 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.13 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.06 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | c0. 25 |  |  | 0.12 |  |
| vic Ratio | 0.61 | 0.14 |  |  | 0.15 | 0.01 |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.23 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 21.0 | 18.2 |  |  | 35.3 | 35.2 |  | 10.4 |  |  | 8.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.1 | 0.1 |  |  | 1.2 | 0.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| Delay (s) | 23.1 | 18.4 |  |  | 36.6 | 35.2 |  | 11.4 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Level of Service | C | B |  |  | D | D |  | 8 |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 21.1 |  |  | 35.8 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | c |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Control Delay |  |  | 14.0 |  | HCM Level | of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 74.7 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 64.6\% |  | CU Level or | S Service |  |  | c |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 220 | 8 | 11 | 723 | 431 |
| vic Ratio | 0.64 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.44 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 27.8 | 4.5 | 35.4 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 8.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 27.6 | 4.5 | 35.4 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 8.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 109 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 71 | 30 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 176 | 42 | 17 | 16 | 186 | 86 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 513 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn 8ay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ease Capacity (vph) | 605 | 994 | 340 | 298 | 1641 | 1867 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.23 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Lane Group Flow (voh) | 257 | 187 | 10 | 13 | 492 | 1091 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.58 |  |
| Control Delay | 26.2 | 10.2 | 35.1 | 19.8 | 12.9 | 12.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 26.2 | 10.2 | 35.1 | 19.8 | 12.9 | 12.5 |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 92 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 105 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 152 | 62 | 20 | 17 | 144 | 289 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 513 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 609 | 964 | 345 | 304 | 1054 | 1885 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced vic Ratio | 0.42 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.47 | 0.58 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^2]Existing Plus Parcels 1 \& 3 AM (47th Place w/ Signal)

| Lane Group | EBI | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 220 | 8 | 11 | 723 | 431 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 36.8 | 5.0 | 40.1 | 22.6 | 12.7 | 7.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 36.8 | 5.0 | 40.1 | 22.6 | 13.0 | 7.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (fi) | 156 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 105 | 36 |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 196 | 45 | 18 | 16 | 302 | 84 |
| Intemal Link Dist (ft) |  | 513 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn 8ay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 479 | 777 | 203 | 182 | 1331 | 2035 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.21 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]

[^4]Existing Plus Parcels 1 \& 3 PM (47th Place w/ Signal) 963: 47th Ave \& Rainbow Blvd

|  | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WET | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 167 | 10 | 13 | 492 | 1091 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.50 |
| Control Delay | 42.7 | 7.7 | 41.3 | 22.3 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.7 | 7.7 | 41.3 | 22.3 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 137 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 46 | 126 |
| Queue Length 95th (it) | 192 | 50 | 22 | 18 | 135 | 232 |
| Internal Link Dist ( (t) |  | 513 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 457 | 650 | 120 | 114 | 1231 | 2177 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.50 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]

[^6]|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 51 | 97 | 721 | 530 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 43.0 | 14.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay | 43.0 | 14.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 31 | 11 |
| Queue Length 95th ( ft ) | 61 | 44 | 54 | 18 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 95 |  | 214 | 249 |
| Turn Bay Length ( $A$ ) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 452 | 477 | 2958 | 1856 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 543 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 2 | 90 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.40 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |

F:IPROJECTSI010-2516\_TRFCIAnalysisIExisting + Dev 1 \& 3ISynchro ModelsiSignalization @ 47h PlaceiExisting AM Olsson Associates


|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 109 | 177 | 402 | 965 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 44.8 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 44.8 | 11.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (fi) | 59 | 0 | 16 | 11 |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 108 | 55 | 33 | 23 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) | 95 |  | 214 | 249 |
| Turn Bay Length (fi) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 433 | 521 | 2726 | 2299 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 423 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.51 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |

[^7]Capacity Analysis Reports
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South Retail Entrance - High Volume Approach (vph)



Capacity Analysis Reports


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | Intersection | 47th Place \& Rainbow Btvd |
| Agency/Co. | Oisson Associates | Jurisdiction | Westwood, KS/KCK (LG)KDOT |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 | Analysis Year | 2011-Ex+Parcels 1-4 |
| Analysis Time Period | PM | nalysis Year | 201-Ex+Parcels 1 -4 |
| Project Description Woodside |  |  |  |
| EastWest Street: 47th Place |  | North/South Street | Bivd |
| Intersection Orientation | th-South | Study Period (hr |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (ver/h) |  | 305 | 80 | 129 | 836 |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 331 | 86 | 140 | 908 | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | - | - | 2 | - | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | $T$ | TR | $L T$ | $T$ |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 100 |  | 184 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rale, HFR (ven/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 199 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Configuration |  |  |  | L |  | $R$ |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Northbound | Soulthbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | LT | L |  | $R$ |  |  |  |
| v (vah/h) |  | 140 | 108 |  | 199 |  |  |  |
| $C$ (m) (veh/h) |  | 1138 | 179 |  | 837 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ |  | 0.12 | 0.60 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 95\% queue length |  | 0.42 | 3.32 |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.6 | 51.7 |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A | F |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | -- | 25.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | - | D |  |  |  |  |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

General Information

| Analysi | Brelt Lauritsen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | Oisson Associates |
| Date Performed | $3 / 8 / 2011$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM |
| Project Description Woodside |  |

Project Description Woodside
EastWest Street: 47th Place Intersection Orientation: East-West

Site Information

| Intersection | 47 th Place \& Retail |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Wostwood, KS |
| Analysis Year | $2011-E x+$ Parcels 1-4 |
|  |  |

North/South Street: Center Retail
Study Period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 245 | 3 | 2 | 156 | 39 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 8 | 266 | 3 | 2 | 169 | 42 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicies | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | - | - |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |


| Minor Streat | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 14 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.82 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 15 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 6 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 37 |  |
| $C$ (m) (veh/h) | 1360 | 1295 |  | 644 |  |  | 598 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 7.8 |  | 10.6 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | 8 |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | - | -- |  | 10.6 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | - | $\cdots$ |  | $B$ |  |  | B |  |

TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | O/sson Associates |
| Date Performed | $3 / 8 / 2011$ |
| Analysis Time Perioo | PM |

Project Description Woodsid $\theta$
EastWest Street: 47th Place
Intersection Orientation: East-West

Site Information

| Intersection | 47th Place \& Retail |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Westwood, KS |
| Analysis Year | $2011-E x+$ Parcels 1-4 |
|  |  |

North/South Street: Center Retail
Study Period (hrs): 0.25

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Streat | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | ? | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 22 | 174 | 13 | 6 | 262 | 33 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 23 | 189 | 14 | 6 | 284 | 35 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | -- | 2 | - | - |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 19 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh $/ \mathrm{h}$ ) | 3 | 0 | 8 | 65 | 0 | 20 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | - 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | $N$ |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 23 | 6 |  | 11 |  |  | 85 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1241 | 1369 |  | 659 |  |  | 473 |  |
| v/c | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.18 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.06 | 0.01 |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.65 |  |
| Control Delay (s/ven) | 8.0 | 7.6 |  | 10.6 |  |  | 14.3 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | B |  |  | 8 |  |
| Approach Delay (s/ven) | - | - | 10.6 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | - | - | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | Intersection | 47th Place \& South Garage |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates | Jurisdiction | Westwood, KS |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 | Analysis Year | 2011 - Ex + Parcels 1-4 |
| Analysis Time Period | AM |  |  |
| Project Description Woodside |  |  |  |
| EastWest Street: 471/ Place |  | North/South S | arage |
| Intersection Orientation: East-West |  | Study Period |  |

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments



## ALL-WAY STOP CONTROL ANALYSIS

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | intersection | 47il Place \& State Line Rd |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates | Jurisdiction | Woshwood, KS/KCMO |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 | Analysis Year | 2011-Ex+Parce/s 1-4 |
| Anelysis Time Period | AM |  |  |
| Project ID Woodside |  |  |  |
| EastWest Street: 47th Place |  | North/South Str |  |

## Volume Adjustments and Site Characterlstics



## Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Rignl-Turns | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| hLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj. compuled | -0.1 |  | -0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.1 |  |

## Departure Headway and Service TIme

| nd, inital value (s) | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$, initial | 0.20 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.48 |  | 0.18 |  |
| no, final value (s) | 6.66 |  | 6.74 |  | 5.86 |  | 6.46 |  |
| $x$, final value | 0.41 |  | 0.39 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.36 |  |
| Move-up time, m (s) |  | 0 |  | . 0 |  | 20 |  | 2.0 |
| Service Time. $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ (s) | 4.7 |  | 4.7 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.5 |  |

Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (velih) | 471 |  | 457 |  | 606 |  | 450 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 14.20 |  | 13.93 |  | 37.39 |  | 13.04 |  |
| Los | $B$ |  | B |  | $E$ |  | $B$ |  |
| Approach: Delay (sNen) | 14.20 |  | 13.93 |  | 37.39 |  | 13.04 |  |
| LOS | B |  | 8 |  | $E$ |  | $B$ |  |
| Intersection Delay (s/veh) | 24.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copyright 2010 University of Florida, All Rights Reserved HCS |  |  |  |  | HCS+ ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ V Version 5.5 | Generated: 3/17/2011 2:4 |  |  |



## Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Tums | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Prop. Heavy vehicle | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| alt-adi | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| hRT-ad) | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| nHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj. computed | -0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.0 |  |
| Departure Headway and Service Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hd. initial value (s) | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |
| $x$, initial | 0.33 |  | 0.27 |  | 0.35 |  | 0.43 |  |
| hd, final value (s) | 9.13 |  | 9.66 |  | 0.19 |  | 9.12 |  |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}$ final value | 0.93 |  | 0.82 |  | 1.01 |  | 1.22 |  |
| Move-up lime, m (s) | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |
| Service Time, ¢ (s) | 7.1 |  | 7.7 |  | 7.2 |  | 7.1 |  |

Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southoound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 393 |  | 368 |  | 394 |  | 480 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 60.89 |  | 44.39 |  | 78.12 |  | 146.41 |  |
| LOS | $F$ |  | E |  | $F$ |  | $F$ |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 60.89 |  | 44.39 |  | 78.12 |  | 146.41 |  |
| LOS | F |  | E |  | $F$ |  | $F$ |  |
| Intersection Delay (s'ven) | 88.53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Sife Information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates |  | Jurisdiction |  |  | Westwood KS/KCK (UG)/KDOT |  |  |
| Date Periormed | 3/8/2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Time Period | PM |  | Analysis Year |  |  | 2011 - Ex + Parcels 1.4 |  |  |
| Project Description Woodside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: Retai/Apt South |  |  | North/South Street: Rainbow Blvd |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation: | North-South |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 377 | 37 |  | 30 | 906 |  |  |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 |
| Hourly Flow Rale, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 409 | 40 |  | 32 | 984 |  | 0 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | - | - |  | 2 | - |  | - |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Configuration |  | T | TR |  | LT | $T$ |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Minor Street | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Movernent | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 12 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume (veh/n) |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 8 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.92 | 1.00 |  | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 28 | 0 |  | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  |  | N |  |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |  |
| Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | Northbound | Southbound | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration |  | LT | LR |  |  |  |  |  |
| v (veh/h) |  | 32 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) |  | 1108 | 282 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c |  | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% queue length |  | 0.09 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) |  | 8.3 | 19.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A | C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s/ven) | -- | -- | 19.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | - | C |  |  |  |  |  |




| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vah) | 295 | 240 | 14 | 14 | 795 | 480 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.50 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 27.5 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 19.5 | 12.9 | 9.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 27.5 | 5.2 | 35.6 | 19.5 | 12.9 | 9.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 109 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 82 | 33 |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 175 | 48 | 25 | 18 | 215 | 97 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 605 | 1004 | 339 | 299 | 1586 | 1756 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.26 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 191 | 65 | 37 | 532 | 1123 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.93 dl | 0.62 |
| Control Delay | 29.6 | 12.2 | 38.4 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 13.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 29.6 | 12.2 | 38.4 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 13.5 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 92 | 34 | 29 | 0 | 79 | 172 |
| Queue Length 95th ( t ) | 155 | 80 | 65 | 25 | 137 | 262 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 386 | 927 | 443 | 548 | 1014 | 1798 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.62 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 240 | 14 | 14 | 795 | 460 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 41.0 | 6.8 | 41.0 | 20.9 | 9.1 | 6.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.0 | 6.8 | 41.0 | 20.9 | 9.4 | 6.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 156 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 75 | 40 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 211 | 57 | 26 | 18 | 202 | 83 |
| Internal Link Dist (fit) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (t)) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 503 | 741 | 134 | 133 | 1787 | 1980 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 376 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 0.23 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^8]|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 191 | 65 | 37 | 532 | 1123 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.57 |
| Control Delay | 34.3 | 9.8 | 62.9 | 13.7 | 12.3 | 12.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.3 | 9.8 | 62.9 | 13.7 | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 122 | 25 | 36 | 0 | 66 | 176 |
| Queue Length 95th ( $\mathbf{( t )}$ | 173 | 68 | 76 | 27 | 167 | 288 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (fl) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 461 | 669 | 147 | 274 | 1107 | 1983 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^9]|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (voh) | 51 | 135 | 755 | 547 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 42.8 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay | 42.8 | 14.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 33 | 11 |
| Queue Length 95th (f) | 61 | 51 | 58 | 21 |
| Internal Link Dist ( ft ) | 95 |  | 158 | 249 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 472 | 521 | 2856 | 1766 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 515 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.44 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^10]| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 109 | 200 | 419 | 1049 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.15 | 0.46 |
| Control Oelay | 44.8 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 1.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay | 44.8 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 59 | 0 | 17 | 11 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 106 | 58 | 35 | 20 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 95 |  | 158 | 249 |
| Tum Bay Length (ft) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 413 | 523 | 2728 | 2272 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced vic Ratio | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.58 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |

[^11]Signal Warrant Analysis



Retail Entrance - High Volume Approach (vph)



South Garage Entrance - High Volume Approach (vph)





South Retail Entrance - High Volume Approach (vph)



## Capacity Analysis Reports




| TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Information |  |  | Site Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen |  | \|ntersection |  |  | 477h Place \& Retail |  |  |
| Agency/Co. | Oisson Associales |  |  |  |  | Westwood, KS |  |  |
| Date Performed | 3/8/2011 |  | Analysis Year |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2011-\text { Ex + Parcels 1-4 } \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Analysis Time Pariod | AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Descriplion Woodside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EastWest Street: 47th Place |  |  | North/South Street: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Orientation; East-West | East-West |  | Study Period (hrs): 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | 5 |  | 6 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 245 | 3 |  | 2 | 156 |  | 39 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rale, HFR (veh $/ \mathrm{h}$ ) | 6 | 266 | 3 |  | 2 | 169 |  | 42 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | -- | - |  | 2 | - |  | -- |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Configuration | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |  |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 |  | 12 |
|  | L | T | R |  | L | T |  | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 21 | 0 |  | 14 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  | 0.92 | 0,92 |  | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (vel/h) | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 22 | 0 |  | 15 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  |  | $N$ |  |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Configuration |  | LTR |  |  |  | LTR |  |  |
| Delay, Quese Length, and Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 |  | 10 | 11 |  |
| Lane Configuration | LTR | LTR |  | LTR |  |  | LTR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 6 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 37 |  |
| $C$ (m) (veh/h) | 1360 | 1295 |  | 644 |  |  | 598 |  |
| v/c | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.06 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.01 | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.7 | 7.8 |  | 10.6 |  |  | 11.4 |  |
| LOS | A | A |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | -- | - | 10.6 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | - | - | B |  |  | B |  |  |





## ALL-WAY STOP CONTROL ANALYSIS

General Information

| Analysi | Brett Lourrilsen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agancy/Co. | Oisson Associates |
| Date Performed | $3 / 8 / 2011$ |
| Analysis Time Period | AM |

Slte Information

| Intersection | 47th Place \& State Line Rd |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdiction | Westwood, KS/KCMO |
| Analysis Year | 2011- Ex + Parcels 1-4 2030 |
|  |  |

Project ID Woodside
EastWest Slreet: 47th Place

## Norih/South Street: Slate Lire Road

Volume Adjustments and Site Characteristics

| Approach | Eastopund |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | T | 8 | L | $\gamma$ | R |
| volume (venim) | 34 | 119 | 52 | 30 | 125 | 37 |
| \%Thrus Left Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Soultibound |  |  |
| Movement | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 93 | 380 | 26 | 12 | 141 | 32 |
| \% Thrus Left Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Norilibound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | 12 | L1 | L2 |
| Configuration | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| PHF | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  |
| Flow Rate (veh/h) | 221 |  | 207 |  | 542 |  | 200 |  |
| \% Heary Vehicles | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| No. Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Geometriy Group | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Duration, T | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Saturation Headway Adjustment Worksheet

| Prop. Left-Turns | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prop. Right-Turns | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.2 |  |
| Prop. Heavy Vehicte | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| MLT-adj | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| MRT-adj | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.6 |
| hHV-adj | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| hadj. compuled | -0.1 |  | -0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | -0.1 |  |

## Departure Headway and Servlce Time

| hd, initial value (s) | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  | 3.20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$, initial | 0.20 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.48 |  | 0.18 |  |
| hd, final value (s) | 6.66 |  | 6.74 |  | 5.86 |  | 6.46 |  |
| x, final value | 0.41 |  | 0.39 |  | 0.88 |  | 0.36 |  |
| Move-up time, m(s) |  | . 0 |  | . 0 |  | . 0 |  | 0 |
| Service Time, $\mathrm{t}_{5}(\mathrm{~s}$ ) | 4.7 |  | 4.7 |  | 3.9 |  | 4.5 |  |

## Capacity and Level of Service

|  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 | L1 | L2 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 471 |  | 457 |  | 606 |  | 450 |  |
| Delay (s/veh) | 14,20 |  | 13.93 |  | 37.39 |  | 13.04 |  |
| Los | B |  | B |  | E |  | 8 |  |
| Approach: Delay (s/veh) | 14.20 |  | 13.93 |  | 37.39 |  | 13.04 |  |
| LOS | B |  | B |  | $E$ |  | B |  |
| Intersection Delay (siveh) | 24.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Untersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## TWO-WAY STOP CONTROL SUMMARY

## General Information

Site Information

| Analyst | Brett Lauritsen | Intersection | 47th Place \& Health Club Ent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | Olsson Associates | Werisdiction | Wostwood, KS |
| Date Performed | $3 / 8 / 2011$ | 2011 Ex + Parcels 1-4 2030 |  |
| Analysis Time Period | AM |  |  |

Project Description Woodside
EastMesl Streat: 47th Place
North/South Street: Health Club Entrance/Exit
Iniersection Orientation: East-Wost
Study Period (hrs): 0.25
Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Major Street | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) | 35 | 266 |  |  | 131 | 19 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 38 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 20 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 2 | - | - | 0 | -- | - |
| Median Type | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  | TR |
| Upstream Signal |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Minor Street | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|  | L | T | R | L | T | R |
| Volume (veh/h) |  |  |  | 22 |  | 42 |
| Peak-Hour Factor, PHF | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| Hourly Flow Rate, HFR (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 45 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Flared Approach |  | N |  |  | N |  |
| Storage |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lanes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  |  |  | LR |  |

Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service

| Approach | Eastbound | Westbound | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Lane Configuration | LT |  |  |  |  |  | LR |  |
| $v$ (veh/h) | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |
| C (m) (veh/h) | 1417 |  |  |  |  |  | 709 |  |
| v/c | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |
| 95\% queue length | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.32 |  |
| Control Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |
| Approach Delay (s/veh) | - | -- |  |  |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | -- | - |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |






|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 240 | 14 | 14 | 933 | 537 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.67 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 28.4 | 5.4 | 32.5 | 18.1 | 13.1 | 8.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 28.4 | 5.4 | 32.5 | 18.1 | 13.1 | 8.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 104 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 101 | 42 |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 171 | 49 | 23 | 18 | 238 | 106 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 507 | 1088 | 553 | 480 | 1591 | 1759 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.59 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WER | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | $\dagger$ |  |  | A | F |  | At |  |  | At |  |
| Volume (vph) | 236 | 14 | 162 | 34 | 26 | 34 | 162 | 387 | 7 | 20 | 939 | 244 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Lane Utili. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.86 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Fll Prolected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Sald. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1605 |  |  | 1811 | 1583 |  | 3482 |  |  | 3429 |  |
| Fit Permitted | 0.42 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Sald. Flow (perm) | 777 | 1605 |  |  | 1342 | 1583 |  | 1836 |  |  | 3225 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Fow (yph) | 257 | 15 | 176 | 37 | 28 | 37 | 176 | 421 | 8 | 22 | 1021 | 265 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 1288 | 0 |
| Turn Type | $p \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{t}$ |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 40.1 |  |  | 40.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 |  |  | 7.3 | 7.3 |  | 40.1 |  |  | 40.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.31 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.10 |  | 0.55 |  |  | 0.55 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 377 | 496 |  |  | 134 | 158 |  | 1007 |  |  | 1769 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.09 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.12 |  |  |  | 0.05 | 0.00 |  | 0.33 |  |  | c0.40 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.68 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.49 | 0.02 |  | 1.28dl |  |  | 0.73 |  |
| Uniform Delay, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 20.6 | 19.3 |  |  | 31.1 | 29.7 |  | 11.1 |  |  | 12.4 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| incremental Delay, d2 | 5.0 | 0.4 |  |  | 2.8 | 0.1 |  | 2.6 |  |  | 2.7 |  |
| Delay (s) | 25.7 | 19.7 |  |  | 33.9 | 29.7 |  | 13.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| Level of Service | C | B |  |  | C | C |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 23.1 |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 13.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | 8 |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 16.9 | HCM Level of Service | 8 |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.69 |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 73.1 | Sum of lost time (s) | 10.4 |
| intersection Capacity Utilization | $84.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | E |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| di Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane. |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 191 | 65 | 37 | 605 | 1308 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 1.28 d | 0.72 |
| Control Delay | 31.2 | 18.2 | 38.0 | 12.3 | 14.8 | 15.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.2 | 18.2 | 38.0 | 12.3 | 14.8 | 15.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (fi) | 92 | 48 | 28 | 0 | 92 | 217 |
| Queue Length 95th ( ft ) | 155 | 97 | 65 | 25 | 161 | 330 |
| Internal Link Dist ( ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Tum Bay Length (fl) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 370 | 922 | 466 | 574 | 1025 | 1819 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 0.72 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Existing Plus All Parcels 2030 AM (47th Place w/ Signal) 963: 47th Ave \& Rainbow Blvd

3/18/2011



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 295 | 240 | 14 | 14 | 933 | 537 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | 40.2 | 6.5 | 41.8 | 21.5 | 10.6 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 40.2 | 6.5 | 41.8 | 21.5 | 10.9 | 8.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft$)$ | 158 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 88 | 50 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 207 | 56 | 27 | 19 | 255 | 105 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 438 | 698 | 124 | 119 | 1764 | 1960 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.27 |

Intersection Summary

[^12]|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\checkmark$ | - | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | , | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | 4 | F |  | 4t |  |  | 4 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 236 | 14 | 162 | 34 | 26 | 34 | 162 | 387 | 7 | 20 | 939 | 244 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Lane Ufil. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.86 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 |  |
| Fil Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1605 |  |  | 1811 | 1583 |  | 3482 |  |  | 3429 |  |
| Fit Permitted | 0.71 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.49 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.94 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1331 | 1605 |  |  | 919 | 1583 |  | 1777 |  |  | 3222 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 257 | 15 | 176 | 37 | 28 | 37 | 176 | 421 | 8 | 22 | 1021 | 265 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 1290 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Permitied Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 23.9 | 23.9 |  |  | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 55.7 |  |  | 55.7 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 23.9 | 23.9 |  |  | 8.4 | 8.4 |  | 55.7 |  |  | 55.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.62 |  |  | 0.62 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 5.2 | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.2 |  | 5.2 |  |  | 5.2 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (uph) | 404 | 426 |  |  | 86 | 148 |  | 1100 |  |  | 1994 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 00.07 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0. 10 |  |  |  | 0.07 | 0.00 |  | 0.34 |  |  | c0.40 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.34 |  |  | 0.76 | 0.02 |  | 0.99 dl |  |  | 0.65 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 29.4 | 26.7 |  |  | 39.8 | 37.1 |  | 9.9 |  |  | 10.9 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.91 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 3.3 | 0.5 |  |  | 30.9 | 0.1 |  | 1.9 |  |  | 1.6 |  |
| Delay (s) | 32.7 | 27.2 |  |  | 70.7 | 37.1 |  | 11.0 |  |  | 12.5 |  |
| Level of Service | C | C |  |  | E | D |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 30.3 |  |  | 58.5 |  |  | 11.0 |  |  | 12.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| intersection Surnmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Control Delay |  |  | 17.3 |  | HCM Leve | of Service |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length ( s ) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 10.4 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 84.5\% |  | ICU Level | Service |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d) Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 191 | 65 | 37 | 605 | 1308 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.42 | 0.67 | 0.18 | 0.99 dl | 0.64 |
| Control Delay | 38.5 | 19.9 | 68.7 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 12.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 38.5 | 19.9 | 69.7 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 13.0 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 125 | 58 | 36 | 0 | 82 | 218 |
| Queue Length 95th (fi) | 181 | 106 | 77 | 27 | 190 | 353 |
| Internal Link Dist ( ft ) |  | 394 | 88 |  | 249 | 281 |
| Turn Bay Length (f) | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (uph) | 457 | 693 | 182 | 343 | 1122 | 2053 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 |
| Spiliback Cap Reducin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| Storage Cap Reducfn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.66 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F | $4{ }^{4}$ |  |  | 4 |
| Volume (vph) | 47 | 124 | 734 | 87 | 167 | 407 |
| Ideal Flow (uphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Lane Utill Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.98 |  |  | 1.00 |
| Fll Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 3483 |  |  | 3488 |
| Fll Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.59 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 3483 |  |  | 2097 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 51 | 135 | 798 | 95 | 182 | 442 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 123 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 51 | 12 | 887 | 0 | 0 | 624 |
| Turn Type |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 8.1 | 8.1 | 73.9 |  |  | 73.9 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 8.1 | 8.1 | 73.9 |  |  | 73.9 |
| Actuated gic Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.82 |  |  | 0.82 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 159 | 142 | 2860 |  |  | 1722 |
| v/s Ratio Prot | c0.03 |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.01 |  |  |  | c0.30 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.09 | 0.31 |  |  | 0.36 |
| Uniform Delay, di | 38.4 | 37.6 | 1.9 |  |  | 2.1 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.47 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  | 0.6 |
| Delay (s) | 39.5 | 37.8 | 2.2 |  |  | 1.5 |
| Levei of Service | D | D | A |  |  | A |
| Approach Delay (s) | 38.3 |  | 2.2 |  |  | 1.5 |

Approach LOS D A

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 5.9 | HCM Level of Service | A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.36 |  | 8.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 90.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | A |
| Intersection Capacity Uutization | $52.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 51 | 135 | 893 | 624 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 42.9 | 14.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay | 42.9 | 14.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 42 | 11 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 61 | 51 | 72 | 18 |
| Interial Link Dist (ft) | 95 |  | 158 | 249 |
| Turn Bay Length (fi) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 413 | 473 | 2864 | 1723 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 6 | 151 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.47 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Conígurations | 7 | \% | 4 $\hat{\square}$ |  |  | + 4 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 100 | 184 | 372 | 80 | 129 | 1006 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util, Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.97 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Fll Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1583 | 3445 |  |  | 3519 |  |
| Fit Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.81 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1770 | 1583 | 3445 |  |  | 2868 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 109 | 200 | 404 | 87 | 140 | 1093 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 176 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 109 | 24 | 476 | 0 | 0 | 1233 |  |
| Tum Type |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 8 |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 10.8 | 10.8 | 71.2 |  |  | 71.2 |  |
| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 10.8 | 10.8 | 71.2 |  |  | 71.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.79 |  |  | 0.79 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension ( $s$ ) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 212 | 190 | 2725 |  |  | 2269 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot | 00.06 |  | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | 0.02 |  |  |  | c0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.13 | 0.17 |  |  | 0.54 |  |
| Uniform Delay, di | 37.1 | 35.4 | 2.3 |  |  | 3.4 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.92 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.8 |  |
| Delay (s) | 39.2 | 35.7 | 2.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Level of Service | D | D | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 36.9 |  | 2.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Conirol Delay |  |  | 8.6 |  | HCM Level | of Service | A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 90.0 |  | Sum of lost | lime ( $s$ ) | 8.0 |
| Intersection Capacily Ufilization |  |  | 59.9\% |  | ICU Level | Service | $B$ |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^13]|  | $\checkmark$ | + |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 109 | 200 | 491 | 1233 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.18 | 0.54 |
| Control Delay | 44.9 | 11.3 | 2.3 | 4.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Delay | 44.9 | 11.3 | 2.3 | 4.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 59 | 0 | 22 | 98 |
| Queue Length 95th (it) | 106 | 58 | 43 | 13 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $\mathrm{ft}_{\text {( }}$ ) | 95 |  | 158 | 249 |
| Tum Bay Length (ft) |  | 200 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 334 | 461 | 2739 | 2267 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reducin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced vic Ratio | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.18 | 0.71 |
| Interseotion Summary |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    *No accurate estimates of daily trips for "Health/Fitness Club" Land Use in the ITE Manual.
    **Trips for "Health/Fitness Club" Land Use are already accounted for in the traffic counts. The Health/Fitness Club's cumulative square footage is decreasing and there will be a decrease in tennis courts from 18 to 8. No new trips are expected to be generated.
    ${ }^{\wedge} 27 \%$ of PM Peak Hour trips used, no AM Peak Hour rates provided in ITE Manual.
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